arXiv:1212.3735v1 [math.CV] 15 Dec 2012

CONSTRAINTS ON AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF HIGHER DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS

TURGAY BAYRAKTAR AND SERGE CANTAT

Abstract. In this note, we prove, for instance, that the automorphism group of a rational manifold X which is obtained from $\mathbb{P}^k(\mathbf{C})$ by a finite sequence of blow-ups along smooth centers of dimension at most r with $k > 2r + 2$ has finite image in $GL(H^*(X, \mathbf{Z}))$. In particular, every holomorphic automorphism $f : X \to X$ has zero topological entropy.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Automorphisms and entropy.** Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension k. By definition, diffeomorphisms of X which are holomorphic are called *automorphisms*. According to Bochner and Montgomery [BoMo46], the group $\mathsf{Aut}(X)$ of all automorphisms of X is a complex Lie group, the Lie algebra of which is the algebra of holomorphic vector fields on X.

Let $f\colon X \to X$ be an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold X; denote by f^* the action of f on the cohomology of X. Gromov and Yomdin proved that the topological entropy of $f\colon X \to X$ is equal to the logarithm of the spectral radius of f^*; hence, f has positive topological entropy if, and only if, f^* has an eigenvalue of modulus larger than 1.

If a compact complex surface S admits an automorphism with positive entropy, then S is Kähler and is obtained from the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbf{C})$, a torus, a $K3$ surface or an Enriques surface, by a finite sequence of blow-ups (see [Can01, Can99] and [Nag61]). Examples of automorphisms with positive entropy are easily constructed on tori, K3 surfaces, or Enriques surfaces (see [Can11]). Examples of automorphisms with positive entropy on rational surfaces are given in [BK06, BK10, McM07]; these examples are obtained from birational transformations f of the plane by a finite sequence of blow-ups that resolves all indeterminacies of f and its iterates simultaneously. Our main result addresses the following question: *does there exist an example of a birational transformation f of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^k(\mathbf{C})$, $k \geq 3$, which becomes an automorphism with positive entropy after a finite sequence of blow-ups ?* We shall provide new criteria to prove that $\mathsf{Aut}(X)$ has finite image in $GL(H^*(X, \mathbf{Z}))$, and answer this question negatively in several cases.

1.2. **Constraints on $\mathsf{Aut}(X)$.** Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. The group $\mathsf{Aut}(X)$ acts on the cohomology of X: this provides a morphism

$$\mathsf{Aut}(X) \to \mathrm{GL}(H^*(X, \mathbf{Z})).$$

Date: December 18, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F10, 32H50, 14E09.

Key words and phrases. automorphism, rational manifold, topological entropy.

Moreover, by Lieberman's Theorem, the connected component of the identity

$$\mathsf{Aut}(X)^0 \subset \mathsf{Aut}(X)$$

has finite index in the kernel of this morphism ([Lie78]). Thus, $\mathsf{Aut}(X)$ has a finite number of connected components if and only if the image $\mathsf{Aut}(X)^*$ of $\mathsf{Aut}(X)$ in $\mathrm{GL}(H^*(X,\mathbf{Z}))$ is finite; if this is the case, the topological entropy of all automorphisms of X vanishes.

The group $\mathsf{Aut}(X)^*$ preserves the Hodge structure of the cohomology. In particular, it preserves the subspaces $H^{p,p}(X,\mathbf{R})$, for all $p \in \{0,\ldots,\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(X)\}$. In what follows, we denote by $h^{p,p}(X)$ the dimension of these real vector spaces. The Picard number is the rank of the free abelian group $\iota(H^2(X,\mathbf{Z})) \cap H^{1,1}(X,\mathbf{R})$, where ι is the natural morphism $\iota\colon H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}) \to H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X_0 be a smooth, connected, complex projective variety with Picard number 1 (resp. compact Kähler manifold with $h^{1,1}(X_0) = 1$).*

Let m be a positive integer, and $\pi_i : X_{i+1} \to X_i$, $i = 0,\ldots,m-1$, be a sequence of blow-ups of smooth irreducible subvarieties of dimension at most r.

If $k > 2r+2$ then the number of connected components of $\mathsf{Aut}(X_m)$ is finite.

For instance, if X is obtained from $\mathbb{P}^k(\mathbf{C})$, with $k \geq 3$, by blowing up a finite sequence of points then the topological entropy of all automorphisms of X is equal to zero. This was observed in [Tru12] when $k = 3$. The same statement applies if X_0 is a smooth cubic hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^{k+1}(\mathbf{C})$ and $k \geq 3$. Thus, among all birational transformations of X_0, none of them lifts to an automorphism with positive entropy after a finite sequence of blow-ups of points (or of centers of dimension $< (k-2)/2$).

The proof is based on strong versions of the Hodge index theorem and a study of the cup product on the cohomology groups of X. Another strategy is explained in the Appendix. In general, it leads to less powerful statements, but it applies to projective varieties defined over fields of positive characteristic, and may be quite efficient in specific examples.

1.3. Numerical dimension and invariant classes.

A cohomology class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$ is called *numerically effective* (nef for short) if α lies in the closure of the set of Kähler classes (*i.e.* classes of Kähler forms). Following [Kaw85] we define the *numerical dimension* of a nef class by

$$\nu(\alpha) := \max\{p \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha^p := \alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha \neq 0 \text{ in } H^{p,p}(X,\mathbf{R})\}.$$

The numerical dimension of nef classes α that are eigenvectors for f^* play an important role in our proofs. The following result will serve as a warm-up for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and f be an automorphism of X. If there exists a nef class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\nu(\alpha) \geq \dim X - 1$ and $f^*\alpha = \alpha$ then the topological entropy of f is equal to zero .*

Theorem 1.2 can be considered as an extension of Liberman's result [Lie78]: *if X is a compact Kähler manifold and $f \in \mathsf{Aut}(X)$ preserves a Kähler class then an iterate of f belongs to $\mathsf{Aut}(X)^0$; in particular, the topological entropy of f is zero.* (see also [Zha09] for the case of big and nef classes)

Acknowledgement

The first named author would like to thank Mattias Jonsson and Tuyen Truong for their valuable comments. He is also grateful to Brian Lehmann for stimulating correspondence. This paper grew up from a first version written by the first author, who first remarked that the topological entropy of all automorphisms vanishes under the assumption of Theorem 1.1; he kindly accepted to write a common paper with the second author in order to prove a stronger result.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Cohomology groups (see [GH94, Vois02]).

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. Denote the de Rham (respectively Dolbeault) cohomology groups by $H^{2p}(X,\mathbf{R})$ (respectively $H^{p,q}(X,\mathbf{C})$) and define

$$H^{p,p}(X,\mathbf{R}) := H^{p,p}(X,\mathbf{C}) \cap H^{2p}(X,\mathbf{R}).$$

These vector spaces are finite dimensional.

In the sequel, we implicitly use the fact that the cohomology classes can be defined in terms of smooth forms. The set of Kähler classes forms an open convex cone, called the Kähler cone; its closure, the set of nef classes $H^{1,1}_{nef}(X,\mathbb{R})$, is a closed convex cone which is strict, that is $H^{1,1}_{nef}(X,\mathbb{R}) \cap -H^{1,1}_{nef}(X,\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$.

2.2. The Picard group.

We let Pic(X) denote the Picard group of X. An element of Pic(X) is an isomorphism class of line bundles; the group operation is given by the tensor product. We denote the Chern map by

$$c_1 : \mathrm{Pic(X)} \to \mathrm{H^2(X,\mathbf{Z})}.$$

By a slight abuse of notation, $c_1(L)$ is also considered as an element of $H^2(X,\mathbf{R})$ (replacing $H^2(X,\mathbf{Z})$ by $H^2(X,\mathbf{Z})\otimes\mathbf{R}$). The Néron-Severi group of X is the image of the Chern map :

$$\mathrm{NS(X)} = \mathrm{c_1(Pic(X))} \subset \mathrm{H^2(X,\mathbf{R})}.$$

It follows from Lefschetz theorem on $(1,1)$ classes that

$$\mathrm{NS(X)} = \mathrm{H^2(X,\mathbf{Z})} \cap \mathrm{H^{1,1}(X,\mathbf{R})}.$$

We also let $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathrm{(X)}$ be the real vector space $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathrm{(X)} = \mathrm{NS(X)} \otimes \mathbf{R} \subset \mathrm{H^2(X,\mathbf{R})}$.

Assume that X is projective. It follows from [Dem92] that the Chern class of a line bundle L is nef if and only if L satisfies

$$L \cdot C = \int_C c_1(L) \geq 0$$

for every curve $C \subset X$. Thus, $c_1(L)$ is nef if and only L is *numerically effective* (nef) in the usual, algebro-geometric, sense.

A line bundle L is *big* if $\kappa(L) = \dim X$ where $\kappa(L)$ denotes the Kodaira-Iitaka dimension of L. It is well-known that a nef line bundle L is big if and only if $L^k := \int_X c_1(L)^k > 0$. (see [Laz04])

2.3. **Dynamical degrees and topological entropy of automorphisms.** Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension k. Every automorphism $f \in \mathsf{Aut}(X)$ induces a linear action

$$f^*_{p,q} : H^{p,q}(X, \mathbf{R}) \to H^{p,q}(X, \mathbf{R})$$

$$f^*_{p,q}\{\theta\} := \{f^*_{p,q}\theta\}$$

where $\{\theta\}$ denotes the class of the smooth (p, q)-form θ in $H^{p,q}(X, \mathbf{R})$.

For $f \in \mathsf{Aut}(X)$ the i^{th} *dynamical degree* $\lambda_i(f)$ of f is, by definition, the spectral radius of $f^*_{i,i}$; in other words, $\lambda_i(f)$ is the largest modulus of an eigenvalue of f^* on $H^{i,i}(X, \mathbf{C})$.

According to Gromov, the topological entropy of an automorphism f is bounded from above by the logarithm of the spectral radius of f^* on $\oplus_p H^{p,p}(X, \mathbf{R})$. Yomdin proved that the topological entropy of a diffeomorphism g of class $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ of a compact manifold M is larger than, or equal to, the logarithm of the spectral radius of g^* on $H^*(M, \mathbf{R})$. Altogether, this gives the following result.

Theorem 2.1 (Gromov and Yomdin)**.** *Let f be an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold X. Then, the topological entropy of f is given by*

$$h_{top}(f) = \max_{0\leq i\leq k} \log \lambda_i(f).$$

In particular, the largest eigenvalue of f^* on $H^*(X, \mathbf{R})$ is obtained on $\oplus_p H^{p,p}(X, \mathbf{R})$. For the reader's convenience, let us explain this fact directly, without any reference to Gromov-Yomdin theorem (the following arguments are well known). For this, fix a Kähler form ω on X and a norm $\parallel \cdot \parallel$ on $H^*(X, \mathbf{C})$.

The first remark asserts that the growth of the sequence $\parallel (f^n)^*\omega^i \parallel$ is comparable to the growth of $\parallel (f^*_{i,i})^n \parallel$: there exists a positive constant $c > 1$ such that

$$c^{-1} \parallel (f^*_{i,i})^n \parallel \leq \parallel (f^n)^*\omega^i \parallel \leq c \parallel (f^*_{i,i})^n \parallel$$

This follows from two facts: (a) every positive form of type (i, i) is bounded from above by a scalar multiple of ω^i, and (b) the set of classes of positive forms of type (i, i) contains an open subset of $H^{i,i}(X, \mathbf{R})$. Moreover, the norm of $(f^*)^n\omega^i$ is comparable to $\int_X ((f^*)^n\omega^i) \wedge \omega^{k-i}$. Thus, we get

$$\lambda_i(f) = \limsup_{m\to\infty} \left(\int_X (f^*_{i,i})^n \omega^i \wedge \omega^{k-i} \right)^{1/n}.$$

The second remark asserts that the growth of $(f^*)^n$ on $\oplus_p H^{p,p}(X, \mathbf{C})$ controls the growth of $(f^*)^n$ on all cohomology groups. The basic idea is that the action of f^* on $H^{k,l}(X, \mathbf{C})$ is related to the action on $H^{p,p}(X, \mathbf{R})$ with $p = k + l$ because the cup-product $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha \wedge \overline{\beta}$ is equivariant. To prove this, one considers the diagonal action of f on $X \times X$, given by $F(x, x') = (f(x), f(x'))$. If π and π' denote the two natural projections of $X \times X$ on X, and if Ω denotes the form $\pi^*\omega + (\pi')^*\omega$, then $(F^*)^n\Omega^i$ grows at most like $\parallel \oplus_{j\leq i} (f^*_{j,j})^n \parallel$ for all i. Then, given a form α of type (k, l), the wedge product

$$\beta = (\pi^*\alpha) \wedge ((\pi')^*\overline{\alpha})$$

determines a class $\{\beta\}$ in $H^{p,p}(X \times X, \mathbf{C})$ with $p = k + l$; this class is **not zero** (this is why we used the product $X \times X$). Moreover, the growth of $\parallel (f^*_{k,l})^n\alpha \parallel$ is controled by the one of $\parallel (F^*)^n\{\beta\} \parallel$, hence by $\parallel (F^*_{p,p})^n \parallel$ and by $\parallel \oplus_{q\leq p} (f^*_{q,q})^n \parallel$.

Lemma 2.2 (see [Gue05])**.** *Let* $f : X \to X$ *be an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold. Let* ω *be a Kähler form on* X*. Then*

(i) $\lambda_i(f)$*, the spectral radius of* $f^*\colon H^{i,i}(X, \mathbf{R}) \to H^{i,i}(X, \mathbf{R})$*, is equal to*

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty} \left(\int_X (f^*_{i,i})^n \omega^i \wedge \omega^{k-i} \right)^{1/n}.$$

(ii) $\lambda_i(f) = \lambda_{k-i}(f^{-1})$ *for* $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$*.*
(iii) $\lambda_1(f)^i \geq \lambda_i(f)$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq k-1$*.*

Sketch of the proof. We already explained where does assertion (i) come from. The second assertion is a consequence of Poincaré duality. The third one follows from the previous discussion. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let* f *be an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold. If the sequence* $\parallel (f^*_{1,1})^n \parallel$ *is bounded, then* f^* *is a periodic transformation of* $H^*(X, \mathbf{Z})$*.*

We give two proofs that are essentially equivalent.

First proof. If the sequence $\parallel (f^*_{1,1})^n \parallel$ is bounded, then all sequences $\parallel (f^*_{i,i})^n \parallel$ are bounded; thus, all sequences $\parallel (f^*_{k,l})^n \parallel$ are bounded. This implies that $f^*\colon H^*(X, \mathbf{R}) \to H^*(X, \mathbf{R})$ is contained in a compact group of linear transformations. On the other hand, f^* preserves the lattice $H^*(X, \mathbf{Z})$ and, as such, is contained in a discrete group. Consequently, f^* has finite order. □

Second proof. If $\parallel (f^*_{1,1})^n \parallel$ is bounded, then $f^*_{1,1}$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(H^{1,1}(X, \mathbf{R}))$. Let G be the closure of $\{(f^*_{1,1})^n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ in $\mathrm{GL}(H^{1,1}(X, \mathbf{R}))$, let $d\lambda$ be a Haar measure on this compact abelian group, and let ω be a Kähler form. The average $\int_G g^*\{\omega\} d\lambda(g)$ is a Kähler class, and is G-invariant. Thus, f preserves a Kähler class. The result follows from Lieberman's theorem. □

2.4. **Hodge index theorem and log-concavity.** Define $\delta_i(f) = \int_X (f^*\omega^i) \wedge \omega^{k-i}$. Teissier-Hovanskii mixed inequalities (see [Gue05, Di-Ng06]) imply that

$$\delta_{p-1}(f^n)\delta_{p+1}(f^n) \leq \delta_p(f^n)^2. \tag{2.1}$$

Thus, taking limits, one obtains the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 (see [Gue05] for instance)**.** *If* $f : X \to X$ *is an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold* X*, the sequence*

$$i \mapsto \log \lambda_i(f)$$

is concave on $\{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$*.*

Similarly, the Hodge index theorem and Teissier-Hovanskii inequalities lead to the following useful statement.

Proposition 2.5 (see [DS04a])**.** *Let* $\alpha, \alpha', \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ *be nef classes where* $r \leq k-2$*.*

(1) *If* $\alpha \wedge \alpha' = 0$ *then* α *and* α' *are colinear.*
(2) *If* $\alpha \wedge \alpha' \wedge \alpha_1 \cdots \wedge \alpha_r = 0$ *in* $H^{r+2,r+2}(X, \mathbf{R})$ *then there exists real numbers* $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ *such that*

$$(a\alpha + b\alpha') \wedge \alpha_1 \cdots \wedge \alpha_r = 0.$$

If $\alpha' \wedge \alpha_1 \cdots \wedge \alpha_r \neq 0$*, then the pair* (a, b) *is unique up to a multiplicative constant.*

2.5. **Numerical dimension and entropy.** We can now prove Theorem 1.2 and deduce a few corollaries.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let k be the dimension of X. Let f be an automorphism of X. Assume $\lambda_1(f) > 1$. Since f^* preserves the nef cone there exists a class $\beta \in H^{1,1}_{nef}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f^*\beta = \lambda_1(f)\beta$. Since f is an automorphism it preserves the cup product; hence

$$f^*(\alpha^{k-1} \wedge \beta) = \lambda_1(f)\alpha^{k-1} \wedge \beta$$

because α is f^*-invariant. The topological degree $\lambda_k(f)$ is equal to 1 and $\lambda_1(f) > 1$, thus

$$\alpha^{k-1} \wedge \beta = 0.$$

Now, $\nu(\alpha) \geq k-1$ implies that $\alpha^{k-2} \neq 0$ in $H^{k-2,k-2}(X, \mathbf{R})$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.5, there exists a pair of real numbers $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, unique up to scalar multiples, such that

$$(a\beta + b\alpha) \wedge \alpha^{k-2} = 0.$$

Pulling-back this equation by f, we obtain $(a\lambda_1(f)\beta + b\alpha) \wedge \alpha^{k-2} = 0$. Since $\lambda_1(f) > 1$, we see that $b = 0$. Thus, $\beta \wedge \alpha^{k-2} = 0$. We can therefore repeat the same argument and obtain

$$\beta \wedge \alpha = 0.$$

Then Proposition 2.5 implies that $\beta = c\alpha$ for some $c \in \mathbf{R}_+$, in contradiction with $\lambda_1(f) > 1$. □

The following result is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.2 and [Kaw85, Proposition 2.2]:

Corollary 2.6. *Let X be a projective manifold and $f \in \mathsf{Aut}(X)$. If there exists a nef $\mathbf{R}$-divisor L such that $\kappa(L) \geq k-1$ and $f^*L \cong L$ then $h_{top}(f) = 0$.*

In particular, if f^* preserves the class of a nef and big divisor, then $h_{top}(f) = 0$. For instance, the topological entropy of all automorphisms of weak Fano manifolds vanishes, because the canonical divisor K_X is invariant by all automorphisms, and $-K_X$ is nef and big for weak Fano manifolds (see [Zha09] for this result).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We now prove Theorem 1.1. We focus on the case of projective variety with Picard number 1; the case of compact Kähler manifold with $h^{1,1}(X) = 1$ is similar.

3.1. **Eigenvalues and entropy.** We start with a weaker result.

Proposition 3.1. *Let m be a positive integer. Let X_0 be a smooth, connected, complex projective variety with Picard number 1. Let $\pi_i : X_{i+1} \to X_i$, $i = 0, \ldots, m-1$, be a sequence of blow-ups of smooth irreducible subvarieties of dimension at most r. If $k > 2r + 2$, then the topological entropy of all automorphisms of X_m vanishes.*

The proof relies on the following estimate.

Lemma 3.2. *Let m be a positive integer. Let X_0 be a smooth, connected, complex projective variety with Picard number 1. Let $\pi_{i+1} : X_{i+1} \to X_i$, $i = 0, \ldots, m-1$, be a sequence of blow-ups of smooth irreducible subvarieties of dimension at most r, with $k > 2r + 2$. If $\alpha \in \mathrm{NS}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{X_m}) \setminus \{0\}$ is nef then*

$$\nu(\alpha) \geq k - r - 1.$$

Proof of the lemma. It follows from [Kaw85] that

$$\nu(\alpha) = \max\{p : \alpha^p \cdot A^{k-p} \neq 0\}$$

where A is any ample divisor. Therefore, it is enough to show that there exists a divisor D such that $\alpha^{k-r-1} \cdot D^{r+1} \neq 0$.

Since X_0 has Picard number one, there is an ample divisor H whose class $\{H\}$ generates $\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{X}_0)$. Let H_{X_m} be the total transform of H under the morphism

$$\pi := \pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_m \colon X_m \to X_0.$$

Denote by E_i the total transform of the exceptional divisor of π_i under the map $\pi_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_m$. The classes $\{H_{X_m}\}$, $\{E_1\}$, …, $\{E_m\}$ generate $\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{X_m})$. Consequently, the nef class α can be written as a sum

$$\alpha = a\{H_{X_m}\} + \sum_i c_i\{E_i\}.$$

where the coefficients a, c_1, ..., c_i are real numbers.

By construction, $\pi(E_i) \subset X_0$ has codimension at least 2; thus $\{H\}^{k-1}$ does not intersect $\pi(\{E_i\})$, and the projection formula (see [Ful98]) implies

$$\{E_i\} \cdot \{H_{X_m}\}^{k-1} = 0.$$

Since α is nef and nonzero we conclude that $a > 0$ because

$$a\{H_{X_m}\}^k = \alpha \cdot H_{X_m}^{k-1} > 0.$$

Since the dimension of the center Y_i of π_{i+1} is at most r, a generic subvariety of X_0 of codimension $r+1$ does not intersect $\pi(E_{i+1})$ (for all $i \geq 0$). This, in turn, implies $\{E_i\}^{k-r-1} \cdot \{H_{X_m}\}^{r+1} = 0$; hence,

$$\alpha^{k-r-1} \cdot \{H_{X_m}\}^{r+1} = a^{k-r-1} > 0.$$

This concludes the proof. □

Proof of the Proposition. We proceed in two steps.

Step 1.- First, we show that

$$\lambda_1(f)^{k-r-1} = \lambda_{k-r-1}(f).$$

We already know that $\lambda_{k-r-1}(f)$ is bounded from above by $\lambda_1^{k-r-1}(f)$. Since f^* is an automorphism, it preserves the nef cone. This cone is convex and strict, and its intersection with $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{X_m})$ contains at least one Kähler class $\{\kappa\}$, because X_m is projective. Thus there exists a nef class $\alpha \in \mathrm{NS}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{X}) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$f^*\alpha = \lambda_1(f)\alpha;$$

for instance, α can be constructed as a limit of the sequence $(\parallel (f^*)^n \parallel^{-1} (f^*)^n\kappa)_{n\geq 0}$. Since f^* preserves the intersection product, we obtain

$$f^*(\alpha^{k-r-1}) = \lambda_1(f)^{k-r-1}\alpha^{k-r-1},$$

and from Lemma 3.2, we deduce that α^{k-r-1} is not zero. Thus, $\lambda_1(f)^{k-r-1}$ is one of the eigenvalues of f^* on $H^{k-r-1,k-r-1}(X_m, \mathbf{R})$ and therefore $\lambda_{k-r-1}(f) \geq \lambda_1(f)^{k-r-1}$. Altogether, this implies $\lambda_{k-r-1}(f) = \lambda_1(f)^{k-r-1}$.

Step 2.- Similarly, since the eigenvector α satisfies

$$\alpha^j \neq 0 \quad \text{in } H^{j,j}(X, \mathbf{R}),$$

we obtain $\lambda_1(f)^j = \lambda_j(f)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k-r-1$. Therefore, applying the same argument to f^{-1} and using Lemma 2.2 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(f)^{(k-r-1)^2} &= \lambda_{k-r-1}(f)^{k-r-1} = \lambda_{r+1}(f^{-1})^{k-r-1} = \lambda_1(f^{-1})^{(k-r-1)(r+1)} \\ &= \lambda_{k-r-1}(f^{-1})^{r+1} = \lambda_{r+1}(f)^{r+1} = \lambda_1(f)^{(r+1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

since $k > 2+2r$ this contradicts $\lambda_1(f) > 1$. □

3.2. **Connected components of $\mathsf{Aut}(X_m)$: Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from the previous proposition and elementary properties satisfied by $\mathsf{Aut}(X_m)^*$.

Step 1.- Let f be an automorphism of X_m. From Section 2.3, if the spectral radius of f^* on $H^{1,1}(X_m, \mathbf{R})$ is equal to 1, then all eigenvalues of f^* on $H^*(X_m, \mathbf{C})$ have modulus 1. Moreover, f^* preserves the lattice $H^2(X_m, \mathbf{Z})$. Thus, the characteristic polynomial of f^* on $H^2(X_m, \mathbf{Z})$ is a polynomial of degree $b_2(X_m)$ (the second Betti number of X_m) with integer coefficient, it is monic, and all its roots have modulus 1. Kronecker's lemma implies that the eigenvalues of f are roots of 1, and the degree d of these roots is uniformly bounded (by a function of $b_2(X_m)$).

Thus, changing f into f^{n_0} for some positive integer n_0 (which depends on X_m but not on f) we may, and do, assume that all eigenvalues of f^* on $H^2(X_m, \mathbf{C})$ are equal to 1. There is a basis of $H^{1,1}(X_m, \mathbf{R})$ such that f^* is upper triangular, with all diagonal coefficients equal to 1; more precisely, $f^*_{1,1}$ can be written as a diagonal sequence of Jordan blocks.

Step 2.- The growth of $(f^*)^n$ on $H^{p,p}(X_m, \mathbf{R})$ is of polynomial type. We denote by $m_p(f)$ the degree of this polynomial growth:

$$m_p(f) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{\log \parallel (f^*_{p,p})^n \parallel}{\log n}$$

In other words, $m_p(f)+1$ is the size of the largest Jordan block of $f^*_{p,p}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Under the previous assumption, one has*

$$m_{p-1}(f) + m_{p+1}(f) \leq 2m_p(f). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Let ω be a Kähler form on X_m, and define the $\delta_i(f)$ as in Section 2.4. We know that $\delta_{p-1}(f^n)\delta_{p+1}(f^n) \leq \delta_p(f^n)^2$. On the other hand $\delta_p(f^n)$ grows like $\parallel (f^*_{p,p})^n \parallel$, *i.e.* like $n^{m_p(f)}$. The conclusion follows. □

Step 3.- Let us assume that the norm of the iterates of $f^*_{1,1}$ is not bounded or, equivalently, that $m_1(f) > 0$. Let ω be a Kähler form, the class of which is contained in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{X_m})$. Since the norm of $(f^*)^n\{\omega\}$ grows like $n^{m_1(f)}$, the sequence

$$n^{-m_1(f)}(f^*)^n\{\omega\}$$

converges towards a non-zero nef class u. By Lemma 3.2, we have $u^{k-r-1} \neq 0$. Since f preserves the intersection product,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \left(n^{-m_1(k-r-1)}(f^*)^n\{\omega\}^{k-r-1} \right) = u^{k-r-1}.$$

Thus, $m_{k-r-1}(f) \geq (k-r-1)m_1(f)$, because $u^{k-r-1} \neq 0$, and we conclude from inequality (3.1) that

$$m_j(f) = jm_1(f), \quad \forall\, 1 \leq j \leq k-r-1.$$

The same argument applies to f^{-1}. Using Poincaré duality as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we obtain $k < 2 + 2r$, in contradiction with our hypothesis. Thus, $m_1(f) = 0$ and $\parallel f^* \parallel$ is bounded.

Step 4.- Step (3) shows that, for all elements f^* of $\mathsf{Aut}(X_m)^*$, the sequence $\parallel (f^*_{1,1})^n \parallel$ is bounded. From Proposition 2.3 , we deduce that f^* has finite order for all f in $\mathsf{Aut}(X_m)$. On the other hand, once a basis of the $\mathbf{Z}$-module $H^*(X_m, \mathbf{Z}) \cap H^*(X_m, \mathbf{R})$ is fixed, $\mathsf{Aut}(X_m)^*$ corresponds to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_b(\mathbf{Z})$, where b is the sum of the Betti numbers of X_m. Minkowski's theorem implies that a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_b(\mathbf{Z})$ is torsion free (one can take the kernel of the reduction modulo 3, hence a subgroup of index $|\mathrm{GL}_b(\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})|$). Thus, $\mathsf{Aut}(X_m)^*$ is finite.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. Moreover, there is a faithful morphism from $\mathsf{Aut}(X_m)^*$ to $\mathrm{GL}_b(\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})$; hence,

$$|\mathsf{Aut}(X_m)| \leq \prod_{j=0}^{b-1} (3^b - 3^j)$$

where b is the sum of the Betti numbers of X_m.

4. Appendix

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension k over a field $\mathbf{k}$. Denote by NS(X) the Néron-Severi group of X, *i.e.* the group of classes of divisors for the numerical equivalence relation. We consider the multi-linear forms $Q_d \colon \mathrm{NS(X)}^{\mathrm{d}} \to \mathbf{Z}$ which are defined by

$$Q_d(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d) = u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdots u_d \cdot K_X^{k-d}.$$

These forms are invariant under $\mathsf{Aut}(X)^*$ and we shall derive new constraints on the size of $\mathsf{Aut}(X)^*$ from this invariance.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension $k \geq 3$, defined over a field $\mathbf{k}$. Let d be an integer that satisfies $3 \leq d \leq k$. If the projective variety*

$$W_d(X) := \{u \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{NS(X)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}) | \ \mathrm{Q_d(u, u, \ldots, u) = 0}\}$$

is smooth, then $\mathsf{Aut}(X)^*$ is finite.

Proof. The group $\mathsf{Aut}(X)^*$ acts by linear projective transformations on the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{NS(X)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C})$ and preserves the smooth hypersurface W_d. Since $d \geq 3$ it follows from [MM64] that the group of linear projective transformations preserving a smooth hypersurface of degree d is finite. Hence, there is a finite index subgroup A of $\mathsf{Aut}(X)^*$ which is contained in the center of GL(NS(X)); since the later is a finite group of homotheties, this finishes the proof. □

As a corollary, let us state the following one, already obtained in the previous sections when $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{C}$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension $k \geq 3$. Assume that there exists a birational morphism $\pi : X \to V$ such that*

- *the Picard number of V is equal to 1*
- *π^{-1} is the blow-up of l distinct points of V.*

Then $\mathsf{Aut}(X)^*$ *is a finite group.*

Proof. We identify NS(V) with $\mathbf{Z}e_0$ where e_0 is the class of an ample divisor. Let $a := e_0^k$. Since X is obtained from V by blowing up l distinct points $p_1, \ldots, p_l$ we have

$$\mathrm{NS(X)} = \mathbf{Z}\mathrm{e}_0 + \bigoplus_{1\leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{l}} \mathbf{Z}\mathrm{e}_\mathrm{i}$$

where e_i is the class of the exceptional divisor $E_i := \pi^{-1}(p_i)$. Then the form Q_k is given by

$$Q_k(u) = a(X_0)^k + (-1)^{k+1}\sum_{i=1}^{l}(X_i)^k$$

where $u = X_0e_0 + \sum_i X_ie_i$ and $[X_0 : \ldots X_l]$ denotes the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{NS(X)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C})$. Hence, the projective variety defined by Q_k in $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{NS(X)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C})$ is smooth and $\mathsf{Aut}(X)^*$ is finite. □

References

[Bir67] G. Birkhoff. Linear transformations with invariant cones. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 74:274–276, 1967.

[BK06] E. Bedford and K. Kim. Periodicities in linear fractional recurrences: degree growth of birational surface maps. *Michigan Math. J.*, 54(3):647–670, 2006.

[BK10] E. Bedford and K. Kim. Continuous families of rational surface automorphisms with positive entropy. *Math. Ann.*, 348(3):667–688, 2010.

[BoMo46] S. Bochner and D. Montgomery. Locally compact groups of differentiable transformations, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 47:639–653, 1946

[Can99] S. Cantat. Dynamique des automorphismes des surfaces projectives complexes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 328(10):901–906, 1999.

[Can01] S. Cantat. Dynamique des automorphismes des surfaces $K3$. *Acta Math.*, 187(1):1–57, 2001.

[Can11] S. Cantat. Quelques aspects des systèmes dynamiques polynomiaux : existence, exemples et rigidité Panorama et Synthèse (30), Société Mathématique de France, 2011

[Dem92] J.-P. Demailly. Singular Hermitian metrics on positive line bundles. In *Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990)*, volume 1507 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 87–104. Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[Di-Ng06] T.-C. Dinh and N.-A. Nguyen. The mixed Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations for compact Kähler manifolds. *GAFA*, 16:838–849, 2006.

[DS04a] T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony. Groupes commutatifs d'automorphismes d'une variété kählérienne compacte. *Duke Math. J.*, 123(2):311–328, 2004.

[DS04b] T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony. Regularization of currents and entropy. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 37(6):959–971, 2004.

[Ful98] W. Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.

[GH94] P. Griffiths and J. Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1994. Reprint of the 1978 original.

[Gue05] V. Guedj. Ergodic properties of rational mappings with large topological degree. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(3):1589–1607, 2005.

[Kaw85] Y. Kawamata. Pluricanonical systems on minimal algebraic varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 79(3):567–588, 1985.

[Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld Positivity in algebraic geometry. I and II Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 48 - 49, 2004

[Lie78] D. I. Lieberman. Compactness of the Chow scheme: applications to automorphisms and deformations of Kähler manifolds. In *Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes,* *III (Sém. François Norguet, 1975–1977)*, volume 670 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 140–186. Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[McM07] C. T. McMullen. Dynamics on blowups of the projective plane. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (105):49–89, 2007.

[MM64] H. Matsumura and P. Monsky. On the automorphisms of hypersurfaces. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 3:347–361, 1963/1964.

[Nag61] M. Nagata. On rational surfaces. II. *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A Math.*, 33:271–293, 1960/1961.

[Tru12] T. T. Truong. On automorphisms of blowups of $\mathbb{P}^3$. *arXiv:1202.4224*, 2012.

[Vois02] C. Voisin. Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe Cours Spécialisés (10), Société Mathématique de France, 2002

[Zha09] D.-Q. Zhang. Dynamics of automorphisms on projective complex manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 82(3):691–722, 2009.

Mathematics Department, Johns Hopkins University 21218 Maryland, USA

Universit de Rennes I, 35042 Rennes cedex France
E-mail address: `bayraktar@jhu.edu and serge.cantat@univ-rennes1.fr`